Attorney's Docket No.: 02950.P012

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION :

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ESTABLISHING CONNECTIONS

the specification of v	vhich	*		
X		Application Number		
	and was amended o	n(if applicable)		·
		estand the contents of the above ded by any amendment referre		
		tion known to me to be materi ederal Regulations, Section 1.		
119(a)-(d), of any for below and have also	oreign application(s) for identified below any for	Title 35, United States Code, repatent or inventor's certific eign application for patent or in the application on which prior	ate list	ed s
Prior Foreign Application	n(s)		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit un States provisional application		es Code, Section 119(e) of any United
(Application Number)	Filing Date	- · ·
(Application Number)	Filing Date	-
States application(s) listed be of this application is not disc provided by the first paragral acknowledge the duty to disc patentability as defined in Tit	elow and, insofar as the losed in the prior United ph of Title 35, United States all information know le 37, Code of Federal le filing date of the prior	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

Filing Date

I hereby appoint Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Karen L. Feisthamel, Reg. No. 40,264; James Y. Go, Reg. No. P-40,621; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. P-41,402; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Eric Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Dolly M. Lee, Reg. No. 39,742; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. P-40,992; Sharmini Nathan Green, Reg. No. P-41,410; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; and Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

(Status -- patented,

pending, abandoned)

(Application Number)

(Name of Attorney or Agent) ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and direct telephone calls to Steven R. Sponseller, (408) 720-8598.
I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Joseph F. Khouri
Residence San Jose, California Citizenship U.S.A.
Residence <u>San Jose, California</u> Citizenship <u>U.S.A.</u>
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 5194 Barron Park Drive
San Jose, California 95136
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Michael L. Mack
Inventor's Signature Male 3 Jan Date 8/29/97
Residence Los Gatos, California Citizenship U.S.A.
Residence Los Gatos, California Citizenship U.S.A. (City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marquerita
Residence Los Gatos, California Citizenship U.S.A. (City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita Los Gatos, California 95030
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita Los Gatos, California 95030
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita Los Gatos, California 95030 Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor Gautam Sinha Inventor's Signature July Date 8/29/97 Residence Fremont, California Citizenship India
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 216 Calle Marguerita Los Gatos, California 95030 Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor Gautam Sinha Inventor's Signature July Date 8/29/97



- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.